arXiv:1703.01523v2 [hep-ph] 23 Mar 2017

Renormalization group: new relations between the parameters of the Standard Model

S. Rebeca Juárez W.

Departamento de Física, Escuela Superior de Física y Matemáticas, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, U.P. "Adolfo López Mateos". C.P. 07738 Ciudad de México, Mexico

Piotr Kielanowski

Departamento de Física, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados, C.P. 07000 Ciudad de México, Mexico

Gerardo Mora

División Académica de Ciencias Básicas, Universidad "Juárez" Autónoma de Tabasco, Mexico

Arno Bohm

Department of Physics, University of Texas at Austin

Abstract

We analyze the renormalization group equations for the Standard Model at the one and two loops levels. At one loop level we find an exact constant of evolution built from the product of the quark masses and the gauge couplings g_1 and g_3 of the $U(1)$ and $SU(3)$ groups. For leptons at one loop level we find that the ratio of the charged lepton mass and the power of g_1 varies $\simeq 4 \times 10^{-5}$ in the whole energy range. At the two loop level we have found two relations between the quark masses and the gauge couplings that vary $\simeq 4\%$ and $\simeq 1\%$, respectively. For leptons at the two loop level we have derived a relation between the charged lepton mass and the gauge couplings g_1 and g_2 that varies $\simeq 0.1\%$. This analysis significantly simplifies the picture of the renormalization group evolution of the Standard Model and establishes new important relations between its parameters.

Keywords: renormalization group, Standard Model
PACS: 11.10.Hi,11.15.Bt,12.15.Ff

Email addresses: rebeca@esfm.ipn.mx (S. Rebeca Juárez W.), kiel@fis.cinvestav.mx (Piotr Kielanowski), gerardo.mora@ujat.mx (Gerardo Mora), bohm@physics.utexas.edu (Arno Bohm)

1. Introduction

In particle physics the renormalization group is used for the study of the asymptotic properties of the theory [1, 2]. The renormalization group equations (RGE) for the Standard Model [3–14] is a set of coupled nonlinear differential equations, derived perturbatively, for the parameters of the theory (couplings and masses). The full set of RGE for the Standard Model is known up to two loops [15] and some partial results are known at higher orders (see [16] and references therein). There are no known exact solutions of the full set of RGE for the Standard Model and only some partial results were obtained. At one loop, equations for the gauge couplings decouple and are solved exactly, but at two loops this is not the case. Another approach, is to use the hierarchy of the parameters of the Standard model, keeping only certain powers of the quark and lepton masses and of $\lambda_{\rm CKM} \approx 0.21$ of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix [17]. In such a way one obtains the exact solutions of the approximate equations [18]. The most precise analysis of the renormalization group evolution of the Standard Model is done by numerical methods, which give very precise predictions for the evolution of the couplings, masses and CKM matrix parameters.

The aim of this paper paper is to find relations between the parameters of the Standard Model that remain constant (or are slowly varying) during the renormalization group evolution. We start with one loop equation and find an *exact* constant for the quark masses and gauge couplings. Next we consider a lepton sector and find that with great accuracy ($\sim 4 \times 10^{-5}$) the charged lepton masses flow proportionally to the $g_1^{-18/41}$. For the two loop case we find two generalizations of the one loop constant for quarks and one for leptons.

The study of the renormalization group invariants in the Standard Model and its extensions has been done before, see e.g., Ref [19] (and references therein), where such invariants were studied for the minimal supersymmetric extension of the Standard Model. The invariants in the lepton sector were analyzed in [20]. In Ref. [21] an approximation of two flavors was used to simplify the problem of the analysis of complicated non linear equations. Recently, such invariants were analyzed within the powerful scheme of the flavor invariants in the minimal flavor violating extension of the Standard Model [22, 23]. One should notice that all these attempts have been limited to the one loop renormalization group equations. Our approach is different: we directly analyze the structure of the renormalization group equations, first at the one loop level and then at two loops. The invariant relations are the result of such analysis in the quark and lepton sectors.

In Sec. 2 we briefly recall the renormalization group equations for the Standard Model. Next in Sec. 3 we derive and discuss an *exact* constant of evolution for the quark masses and gauge couplings. In Sec. 4 we consider the two loop case for the quark section and derive two expressions with very slow flow. In Sec. 5 we analyze slowly varying expressions in the lepton sector for one and two loop equations. We discuss our results in Sec. 6. We also include an Appendix, where we derive an equation needed in our analysis.

2. General Considerations

The Standard Model has the following set of parameters g_1, g_2, g_3 – gauge couplings, y_u, y_d, y_l – Yukawa couplings of the up and down quarks and of the leptons, λ, m – Higgs quartic coupling and mass parameter. Frequently the Higgs field vacuum expectation value v is used instead of m. All these parameters are functions of the renormalization point energy and fulfill the renormalization group equations, which have the following generic form for a parameter g

$$\frac{dg}{dt} = \beta_g = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\beta_g^{(1)} + \frac{1}{(4\pi)^4}\beta_g^{(2)} + \cdots \tag{1}$$

Here $t = \ln \mu$ and μ is the renormalization point energy. The β_g is the β-function, which describes the evolution of the parameter g. The β_g function depends on all the parameters of the theory, but does not depend explicitly on the renormalization point energy t. The β-functions are calculated perturbatively and $\beta_g^{(i)}$ is its contribution at the i-loop level.

The β-functions of the gauge and Yukawa couplings and of the Higgs field vacuum expectation value v have the property that they can be factorized in the following way

$$\beta_{g_i}^{(i)} = g_i \tilde{\beta}_{g_i}^{(i)}, \quad \beta_{y_{u,d,l}}^{(i)} = y_{u,d,l}\tilde{\beta}_{y_{u,d,l}}^{(i)}, \quad \beta_v^{(i)} = v\tilde{\beta}_v^{(i)}. \tag{2}$$

This means that the renormalization group equation for the Standard Model have the following form

$$\frac{d\ln g_i}{dt} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\tilde{\beta}_{g_i}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{(4\pi)^4}\tilde{\beta}_{g_i}^{(2)} + \cdots, \tag{3a}$$

$$\frac{dy_{u,d,l}}{dt} = y_{u,d,l}\left(\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\tilde{\beta}_{u,d,l}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{(4\pi)^4}\tilde{\beta}_{u,d,l}^{(2)} + \cdots\right), \tag{3b}$$

$$\frac{d\ln v}{dt} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\tilde{\beta}_v^{(1)} + \frac{1}{(4\pi)^4}\tilde{\beta}_v^{(2)} + \cdots. \tag{3c}$$

Yukawa couplings $y_{u,d,l}$ are complex matrices 3×3, so Eq. (3b) is a matrix differential equation. Yukawa couplings $y_{u,d}$ couple to the left- and right-handed quarks. Let us observe that the diagonalization of the matrix $y_{u,d}$ by a biunitary transformation requires also the knowledge of the right diagonalizing matrix, which is not related to any observable of the Standard Model. For this reason it is more convenient to use the matrices $H_{u,d} = y_{u,d}^\dagger y_{u,d}$ which are hermitian and are diagonalized by the left diagonalizing unitary matrices. which are related to the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix. It follows from Eq. (3) that the

matrices $H_{u,d} = y^{\dagger}_{u,d} y_{u,d}$ fulfill the following differential equation

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dH_{u,d}}{dt} &= H_{u,d}\left(\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\tilde{\beta}^{(1)}_{u,d} \quad + \frac{1}{(4\pi)^4}\tilde{\beta}^{(2)}_{u,d} \quad + \cdots\right) \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\tilde{\beta}^{(1)}_{u,d} \quad + \frac{1}{(4\pi)^4}\tilde{\beta}^{(2)}_{u,d} \quad + \cdots\right)^{\dagger} H_{u,d} \\
&= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\left(H_{u,d}\tilde{\beta}^{(1)}_{u,d} \quad + (\tilde{\beta}^{(1)}_{u,d})^{\dagger} H_{u,d}\right) + \frac{1}{(4\pi)^4}\left(H_{u,d}\tilde{\beta}^{(2)}_{u,d} \quad + (\tilde{\beta}^{(2)}_{u,d})^{\dagger} H_{u,d}\right) + \cdots ,
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

and the symbol † means here the hermitian conjugate matrix.

The one loop approximation consists in keeping only the terms $\tilde{\beta}^{(1)}$ on the right hand side of Eqs. (3) and (4), the two loop approximation consists in keeping the terms $\tilde{\beta}^{(1)}$ and $\tilde{\beta}^{(2)}$ on the right hand side of Eqs. (3) and (4), etc.

3. A constant for the one loop evolution

3.1. Derivation

The explicit form of the one loop $\beta^{(1)}$ functions for the gauge and Yukawa couplings and for the Higgs field vacuum expectation value v is the following

$$\tilde{\beta}^{(1)}_{g_i} = -b_i g_i^2, \quad \{b_1, b_2, b_3\} = \{-\frac{41}{10}, \frac{19}{6}, 7\}, \tag{5a}$$

$$\tilde{\beta}^{(1)}_{y_u} = \frac{3}{2}(y^{\dagger}_u y_u - y^{\dagger}_d y_d) + Y_2(S) - \left(\frac{17}{20}g_1^2 + \frac{9}{4}g_2^2 + 8g_3^2\right), \tag{5b}$$

$$\tilde{\beta}^{(1)}_{y_d} = \frac{3}{2}(y^{\dagger}_d y_d - y^{\dagger}_u y_u) + Y_2(S) - \left(\frac{1}{4}g_1^2 + \frac{9}{4}g_2^2 + 8g_3^2\right), \tag{5c}$$

$$\tilde{\beta}^{(1)}_{y_l} = \frac{3}{2}y^{\dagger}_l y_l + Y_2(S) - \frac{9}{4}(g_1^2 + g_2^2), \tag{5d}$$

$$\tilde{\beta}^{(1)}_{v} = \frac{9}{4}\left(\frac{1}{5}g_1^2 + g_2^2\right) - Y_2(S), \tag{5e}$$

$$Y_2(S) = \mathrm{Tr}(3y^{\dagger}_u y_u + 3y^{\dagger}_d y_d + y^{\dagger}_l y_l).$$

Now let us analyze the RGE for the $H_{u,d}$ matrices couplings. From Eq. (4) we know that they are the first order ordinary differential matrix equations of very specific form discussed in Appendix A. From this discussion it follows that

one can derive equations for the determinant of the H_u and H_d matrices:

$$\frac{d\det H_u}{dt} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\det H_u(\mathrm{Tr}(\tilde{\beta}_u^{(1)} + (\tilde{\beta}_u^{(1)})^\dagger) \Rightarrow$$

$$\frac{d\ln(\det H_u)}{dt} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\mathrm{Tr}(\tilde{\beta}_u^{(1)} + (\tilde{\beta}_u^{(1)})^\dagger), \tag{6a}$$

$$\frac{d\det H_d}{dt} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\det H_d(\mathrm{Tr}(\tilde{\beta}_d^{(1)} + (\tilde{\beta}_d^{(1)})^\dagger) \Rightarrow$$

$$\frac{d\ln(\det H_d)}{dt} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\mathrm{Tr}(\tilde{\beta}_d^{(1)} + (\tilde{\beta}_d^{(1)})^\dagger). \tag{6b}$$

Thus, taking into account Eqs. (3), (5) and (6) we obtain at the one loop level

$$\frac{d\ln\det H_u}{dt} + \frac{d\ln\det H_u}{dt} + 12\frac{d\ln v}{dt} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\left(-\frac{6}{5}g_1^2 - 96g_3^2\right). \tag{7}$$

Now, from Eqs. (3) and (5a) we have

$$\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}g_i^2 = -\frac{1}{b_i}\frac{d\ln g_i}{dt} \tag{8}$$

and Eq. (7) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\left(\ln\frac{\det H_u\det H_d v^{12}}{g_1^{\frac{6}{5b_1}}g_3^{\frac{96}{b_3}}}\right) \\
&\quad = \frac{d\ln\det H_u}{dt} + \frac{d\ln\det H_u}{dt} + 12\frac{d\ln v}{dt} - \frac{6}{5b_1}\frac{d\ln g_1}{dt} - \frac{96}{b_3}\frac{d\ln g_3}{dt} \\
&= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\Big(\mathrm{Tr}(\tilde{\beta}_u^{(1)} + (\tilde{\beta}_u^{(1)})^\dagger + \tilde{\beta}_d^{(1)} + (\tilde{\beta}_d^{(1)})^\dagger + 12\tilde{\beta}_v^{(1)} - \frac{6}{5b_1}\tilde{\beta}_{g_1}^{(1)} - \frac{96}{b_3}\tilde{\beta}_{g_3}^{(1)}\Big) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

This means that the following function of the parameters of the Standard Model is constant upon the renormalization group evolution

$$\frac{\det H_u\det H_d v^{12}}{g_1^{\frac{6}{5b_1}}g_3^{\frac{96}{b_3}}} = \text{const.} \tag{10}$$

Let us now express the constant in Eq. (10) in terms of observables. The eigenvalues of the hermitian matrices $H_{u,d} = y_{u,d}^\dagger y_{u,d}$ are the squares of the eigenvalues of the Yukawa coupling matrices, corresponding to the up- and down- quarks $\{Y_t^2, Y_c^2, Y_u^2\}$ and $\{Y_b^2, Y_s^2, Y_d^2\}$. The determinants of H_u and H_d are thus equal

$$\det H_u = (Y_tY_cY_u)^2 \text{ and } \det H_d = (Y_bY_sY_d)^2\,. \tag{11}$$

The quark masses are equal

$$m_i = \frac{Y_i v}{\sqrt{2}}. \tag{12}$$

Taking into account Eqs. (11) and (12) the evolution constant from Eq. (10) can be rewritten in terms of the quark masses and gauge couplings

$$64 \left(\frac{m_t m_c m_u m_b m_s m_d}{g_1^{\frac{3}{5b_1}} g_3^{\frac{48}{b_3}}} \right)^2 = \text{const.} \tag{13}$$

Thus K_1 defined below is the one loop constant of the renormalization group evolution

$$K_1 = \frac{m_t m_c m_u m_b m_s m_d}{g_1^{\frac{3}{5b_1}} g_3^{\frac{48}{b_3}}} = \frac{m_t m_c m_u m_b m_s m_d}{g_1^{-\frac{6}{41}} g_3^{\frac{48}{7}}} = \text{const.} \tag{14}$$

3.2. Numerical analysis of the one loop constant K_1

We will now display the evolution of the constant K_1 from Eq. (14), using the evolution of the parameters m_i and g_i obtained from the numerical solution of the one and two loop renormalization group equations. The results are shown in Fig. 1, where we draw the constant K_1 normalized to 1 at $t = 0$ (by dividing it by its value at $t = 0$). The one loop evolution of K_1 produces a perfect straight line with a constant value, which demonstrates that the numerical analysis is compatible with the analytical one. The K_1 relation at the two loop solution of the renormalization group equations is not constant, what mathematically is expected, because K_1 was derived from the one loop equations. However it is rather surprising that the variation is so large, more that 15%. We will discuss it later after the analysis of the two loop equations.

4. Discussion of the two loop evolution

The evolution of the constant K_1, at one loop level, given in Fig. 1 shows that K_1 is not constant for the two loop solution of the renormalization group equations. Unfortunately the two loop equations are too complicated to derive analytically another quantity that might be constant. However, we will analyze the situation and we will introduce some improvements.



Figure 1: Plot of the K_1 relation (which is constant at the one loop level) normalized to 1 at $t = 0$. The line labeled "one loop solution" has been drawn using the numerical solution of the renormalization group equations at the *one* loop level. The line labeled "two loop solution" has been drawn using the numerical solution of the renormalization group equations at the *two* loop level.

From Eq. (9) generalized to two loops it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\left(\ln\frac{\det H_u \det H_d v^{12}}{g_1^{\frac{6}{5b_1}} g_3^{\frac{96}{b_3}}}\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^4}\Big(\mathrm{Tr}(\tilde\beta_u^{(2)} + (\tilde\beta_u^{(2)})^\dagger + \tilde\beta_d^{(2)} + (\tilde\beta_d^{(2)})^\dagger) + 12\tilde\beta_v^{(2)} - \frac{6}{5b_1}\tilde\beta_{g_1}^{(2)} - \frac{96}{b_3}\tilde\beta_{g_3}^{(2)}\Big)\\
&= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^4}\Bigg(k_1 g_1^4 + k_2 g_2^4 + k_3 g_3^4 + k_4 g_1^2 g_2^2 + k_5 g_1^2 g_3^2 + k_6 g_2^2 g_3^2 + \frac{17}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}(H_u^2 + H_d^2)\\
&\qquad - 5\,\mathrm{Tr}(H_u H_d) + \Big(\frac{636}{205}g_1^2 + 18 g_2^2 + \frac{192}{7}g_3^2 - 16\lambda - 2Y_2(S)\Big)\,\mathrm{Tr}\,H_u\\
&\quad + \Big(\frac{708}{205}g_1^2 + 18 g_2^2 + \frac{192}{7}g_3^2 - 16\lambda - 2Y_2(S)\Big)\,\mathrm{Tr}\,H_d - \frac{18}{41}g_1^2\,\mathrm{Tr}\,H_l\Bigg) \neq 0, \quad (15)
\end{aligned}$$

$$k_1 = -\frac{62567}{8200},\ k_2 = \frac{261}{8},\ k_3 = -\frac{6576}{7},\ k_4 = -\frac{11529}{820},\ k_5 = \frac{10748}{1435},\ k_6 = \frac{324}{7}.$$

Here λ is the Higgs quartic coupling. The right hand side of Eq. (15) cannot be analytically expressed as a derivative and thus it is not possible to find a two loop analogue of constant K_1. However the terms of the type g_i^4 and $g_i^2 g_j^2$ can

be expressed as derivatives using the one loop renormalization group equations

$$\frac{1}{(4\pi)^4} g_i^4 = -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{1}{2b_i}\frac{d\, g_i^2}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt}\left(\ln\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2b_i}\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} g_i^2\right)\right), \tag{16a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{(4\pi)^4} g_i^2 g_j^2 &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^4}\frac{g_{i0}^2 g_{j0}^2}{b_i g_{i0}^2 - b_j g_{j0}^2}(b_i g_i^2 - b_j g_j^2)\\ &= -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{g_{i0}^2 g_{j0}^2}{b_i g_{i0}^2 - b_j g_{j0}^2}\left(\frac{d\ln g_i}{dt} - \frac{d\ln g_j}{dt}\right)\\ &= \frac{d}{dt}\left(\ln\left(\frac{g_i}{g_j}\right)^{-\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{g_{i0}^2 g_{j0}^2}{b_i g_{i0}^2 - b_j g_{j0}^2}}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{16b}$$

Here g_{i0} is the value of the g_i coupling at $t = 0$.

Now, inserting Eqs. (16) into Eq. (15) and moving all derivatives to the left hand side we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\left(\ln\frac{\det H_u \det H_d v^{12}}{g_1^{\frac{6}{5b_1}+r_1} g_2^{r_2} g_3^{\frac{96}{b_3}+r_3}\exp(-\frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2}(\frac{k_1}{b_1}g_1^2 + \frac{k_2}{b_2}g_2^2 + \frac{k_3}{b_3}g_3^2))}\right)\\ &\qquad = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^4}\left(\frac{17}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(H_u^2 + H_d^2) - 5\operatorname{Tr}(H_u H_d)\right.\\ &\qquad\quad + \Big(\frac{636}{205}g_1^2 + 18 g_2^2 + \frac{192}{7}g_3^2 - 16\lambda - 2Y_2(S)\Big)\operatorname{Tr} H_u\\ &\qquad + \Big(\frac{708}{205}g_1^2 + 18 g_2^2 + \frac{192}{7}g_3^2 - 16\lambda - 2Y_2(S)\Big)\operatorname{Tr} H_d - \left.\frac{18}{41}g_1^2\operatorname{Tr} H_l\right),\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}r_1 &= -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\left(\frac{k_4 g_{10}^2 g_{20}^2}{b_1 g_{10}^2 - b_2 g_{20}^2} + \frac{k_5 g_{10}^2 g_{30}^2}{b_1 g_{10}^2 - b_3 g_{30}^2}\right),\\ r_2 &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\left(\frac{k_4 g_{10}^2 g_{20}^2}{b_1 g_{10}^2 - b_2 g_{20}^2} - \frac{k_6 g_{20}^2 g_{30}^2}{b_2 g_{20}^2 - b_3 g_{30}^2}\right),\\ r_3 &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\left(\frac{k_5 g_{10}^2 g_{30}^2}{b_1 g_{10}^2 - b_3 g_{30}^2} + \frac{k_6 g_{20}^2 g_{30}^2}{b_3 g_{30}^2 - b_3 g_{30}^2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Using Eq. (17) we define

$$K_2 = \frac{m_t m_c m_u m_b m_s m_d}{g_1^{\frac{3}{5b_1}+\frac{r_1}{2}} g_2^{\frac{r_2}{2}} g_3^{\frac{48}{b_3}+\frac{r_3}{2}}\exp\big(-\frac{1}{4(4\pi)^2}(\frac{k_1}{b_1}g_1^2 + \frac{k_2}{b_2}g_2^2 + \frac{k_3}{b_3}g_3^2)\big)}. \tag{18}$$

Let us also define the quantity K_3, which is a slight modification of K_2

$$K_3 = \frac{m_t m_c m_u m_b m_s m_d}{g_1^{\frac{3}{5b_1}+\frac{b_1 r_1}{2}} g_2^{\frac{b_2 r_2}{2}} g_3^{\frac{96}{b_3}+\frac{b_3 r_3}{2}}\exp\big(-\frac{1}{4(4\pi)^2}(\frac{k_1}{b_1}g_1^2 + \frac{k_2}{b_2}g_2^2 + \frac{k_3}{b_3}g_3^2)\big)}. \tag{19}$$

The two loop evolution of the quantities K_2 and K_3 normalized to 1 at $t = 0$ is shown in Fig. 2



Figure 2: Plot of the evolution of the two quantities K_2 and K_3 normalized to 1 at $t = 0$. This figure should be compared with Fig. 1, where the constant K_1 for the two loop evolution shows a significant variation. K_2 changes only 4% in the whole range of the energy and K_3 is more stable than K_2 and it changes only 1%.

5. Lepton case

The matrix of the Yukawa couplings for leptons is diagonal $y_l = \mathrm{Diag}(\mathrm{Y_e}, \mathrm{Y}_\mu, \mathrm{Y}_\tau)$ and the renormalization group equations for the lepton sector decouple, so one does not have to consider the equation for the determinant. If one takes the sum of Eqs. (5d) and (5e) and uses Eq. (8) one obtains

$$\frac{d\ln Y_i}{dt} + \frac{d\ln v}{dt} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\Big(\frac{3}{2}Y_i^2 - \frac{9}{5}g_1^2\Big) \Rightarrow$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\ln \frac{m_i}{g_1^{\frac{9}{5b_1}}}\right) = \frac{3}{2(4\pi)^2}Y_i^2, \quad i = \{e, \mu, \tau\} \quad (20)$$

and we define the quantity K_4, which is approximate constant for leptons

$$K_4 = \frac{m_i}{g_1^{\frac{9}{5b_1}}} = \frac{m_i}{g_1^{-\frac{18}{41}}} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\int_{t_0}^{t} Y_i^2 dt\right) \approx \text{ const.}, \quad i = \{e, \mu, \tau\}. \quad (21)$$

In Fig. 3 we plot the evolution of K_4. In Fig. 3 a) we use the one loop evolution and one sees that for electron and muon one cannot notice any variation and for lepton τ the evolution is linear but very small. In Fig. 3 b) we plot K_4 and use the two loop solution of the renormalization group equations and one cannot notice any difference between electron, muon and τ.



Figure 3: Plot of the approximate one loop constant for leptons. In a) we draw the evolution of K_4 using the one loop solution of the renormalization group equations and in b) we draw the evolution of K_4 for the two loop solution.

The two loop analogue of Eq. (20) has the following form

$$\left(\frac{d\ln Y_i}{dt}+\frac{d\ln v}{dt}\right)\Big|_{\text{two loop}}$$
$$=\frac{1}{(4\pi)^4}\Big(k_7g_1^4+k_8g_2^4+k_9g_1^2g_2^2+\frac{3}{2}Y_i^4+(\frac{387}{80}g_1^2+\frac{135}{16}g_2^2-\frac{9}{4}Y_2(S)-6\lambda)Y_i^2\Big), \tag{22}$$

$$k_7=\frac{4191}{800},\ k_8=\frac{87}{32},\ k_9=\frac{81}{80}.$$

and the two loop approximate lepton relation K_5 is equal

$$K_5=\frac{m_i}{g_1^{\frac{9}{5b_1}+r_4}g_2^{-r_4}\exp\big(-\frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2}(\frac{k_7}{b_1}g_1^2+\frac{k_8}{b_2}g_2^2)\big)}$$
$$=\exp\left(\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\int_{t_0}^{t}Y_i^2dt+\frac{1}{(4\pi)^4}\int_{t_0}^{t}\Big(\frac{3}{2}Y_i^4+(\frac{387}{80}g_1^2+\frac{136}{16}g_2^2-\frac{9}{4}Y_2(S)-6\lambda)Y_i^2\Big)dt\right)$$
$$\approx\text{const.},\quad i=\{e,\mu,\tau\}, \tag{23}$$

$$r_4=-\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{k_9g_{10}^2g_{20}^2}{b_1g_{10}^2-b_2g_{20}^2}.$$

In Fig. 4 we plot the relation K_5 given in Eq. (23) for the two loop solution of the renormalization group equations. One can see that the variation of K_5 in the whole range of energy is approximately 0.1%, so with great accuracy one can say that K_5 behaves like a constant.



Figure 4: The plot of the relation K_5 given in Eq. (23) for electron, μ and τ. There is no visible difference between the evolution of K_5 for electron and μ. One can see the significant improvement in comparison with Fig. 3b.

6. Discussion of the results

We have analyzed the renormalization group equations for the Standard Model and we have derived various expressions built from the parameters of the theory that are constant or *almost* constant during the evolution. The form of the expressions depends whether we use the one or two loops equations.

In case of the one loop equations the evolution constant K_1 is given in Eq. (14). The numerator of K_1 is the product of the quark masses and the denominator is equal to the product $g_1^{\frac{6}{41}} g_3^{\frac{48}{7}}$ (g_1 and g_3 are the gauge couplings of $U(1)$ and $SU(3)$, respectively). This means that the dependence on g_2 of the product of quark masses cancels out. This cancellation is interesting, because it shows that the product of masses of all quarks depends only on electromagnetic and strong interactions.

For leptons there is no exact constant of one loop evolution, but the relation K_4, for each charged lepton, given in Eq. (21) has very small variation in the whole energy range, $\sim 4 \times 10^{-5}$ for the τ lepton and much smaller for electron and μ. From Eq. (21) we also see that at the one loop level the lepton masses depend only on electromagnetic interactions through the coupling g_1.

The relations K_1 and K_4 plotted with the two loop solutions are no more constant: see Figs. 1 and 3b. For this reason we extended our analysis to the two loop renormalization group equations. At this level the equations become more complicated and it is not possible to *analytically derive* exact evolution constants. However, using the one loop equations we were able to find several relations, which have very small variation during the evolution. For quarks, the evolution of these constants, K_2 and K_3, is shown in Fig. 2 and the variation of K_2 is of the order of 4% and that of K_3 is of the order of 1%. In the case of two loops the product the quark masses depends on three gauge couplings.

We were also able to obtain a two loop expression for leptons. This generalized expression K_5 describes the evolution of the charged lepton masses as functions of g_1 and g_2. The variation of K_5 is of the order of 0.1% and is very similar for all leptons (see Fig. 4).

Summarizing, we have found several relations between the quark and lepton masses and gauge couplings, which remain (almost) constant upon the renormalization group evolution. Remarkably, all our relations are between the quark and lepton masses and the gauge couplings, but do not contain explicitly the Higgs quartic coupling λ or the Higgs field vacuum expectation value v. It is also interesting to note that our relations contain the product of the two flavor invariants: determinants of the quark Yukawa coupling matrices [22, 23]. Our analysis clarifies the picture of the renormalization group flow of the Standard Model, which is governed by a set of coupled non-linear differential equations.

Acknowledgments

Supported in part by *Proyecto SIP:20161034 y SIP:20170819, Secretaría de Investigación y Posgrado, Beca EDI y Comisión de Operación y Fomento de Actividades Académicas (COFAA) del Instituto Politécnico Nacional (IPN), Mexico.* P.K would also like to thank Professor Duane Dicus for kind hospitality at the Department of Physics, University of Texas at Austin, where part of the work on the paper has been done.

Appendix A. Differential equation for determinant

Let us suppose that A and T are square matrices of the same dimension and A fulfills the following differential equation

$$\frac{dA}{dt} = A \cdot T. \tag{A.1}$$

We will derive from Eq. (A.1) the differential equation for $\det A$, the determinant of the matrix A.

The Jacobi formula for derivative of a determinant reads

$$\frac{d \det A}{dt} = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathrm{adj}(A)\frac{dA}{dt}\right), \tag{A.2}$$

where $\mathrm{adj}(A)$ is *adjugate* of the matrix A with the following property

$$A \cdot \mathrm{adj}(A) = \mathrm{adj}(A) \cdot A = \det A \cdot I, \tag{A.3}$$

and I is the identity matrix. If we insert Eq. (A.1) into Eq. (A.2) and use Eq. (A.3) then we immediately obtain the differential equation for the determinant of the matrix A

$$\frac{d \det A}{dt} = \mathrm{Tr}(T) \det A. \tag{A.4}$$

Suppose now that the matrix A is not hermitian and let us consider the hermitian matrix $H = A^\dagger A$. From Eq. (A.1) it is easy to show that matrix H fulfills the following differential equation

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = H \cdot T + T^\dagger \cdot H. \tag{A.5}$$

Using again the Jacobi formula (A.2) for the derivative of the determinant we obtain the differential equation for $\det H$

$$\frac{d \det H}{dt} = \mathrm{Tr}(T + T^\dagger) \det H. \tag{A.6}$$

References

[1] E.C.G. Stueckelberg and André Petermann, Helv. Phys. Acta **26**, 499 (1953).

[2] M. Gell-Mann and F.E. Low, Phys. Rev. **95**, 1300–1312 (1954).

[3] M. E. Machacek and M. T. Vaughn, Nucl. Phys. **B222**, 83 (1983); **B236**, 221 (1984); **B249**, 70 (1985)

[4] K. Sasaki, Z.Phys. **C 32**, 149 (1986)

[5] K. S. Babu, Z. Phys. **C 35**, 69 (1987)

[6] B. Grzadkowski and M. Lindner, Phys. Lett. **B193**, 71 (1987)

[7] B. Grzadkowski, M. Lindner, and S. Theisen, Phys. Lett. B **198**, 64 (1987)

[8] M. Olechowski and S. Pokorski, Phys. Lett. B **257**, 388 (1991)

[9] H. Arason, D. J. Castano, E. J. Piard, and P. Ramond, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 232 (1993)

[10] V. Barger, M. S. Berger and P. Ohmann, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 2038 (1993)

[11] D.J. Castaño, E.J. Piard, and P. Ramond, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 4882 (1994)

[12] P. Binetruy and P. Ramond, Phys. Lett. B **350**, 49 (1995)

[13] R. D. Peccei and K. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 2712 (1996)

[14] K. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 5750 (1996)

[15] Mingxing Luo and Yong Xiao, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 011601 (2003)

[16] Luminita N. Mihaila and Matthias Steinhauser, Nucl. Part. Phys. Proc. **261-262**, 443-470 (2015)

[17] P. Kielanowski, S.R. Juárez W. , J.G. Mora H., Phys. Lett. B **479**, 181 (2000)

[18] P. Kielanowski, S.R. Juárez W. and J.H. Montes de Oca, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 116010(8) (2008)

[19] D.A. Demir, J. High Energy Phys. **11**, 003 (2005)

[20] Sanghyeon Chang and T. K. Kuo, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 111302 (2002)

[21] L.-x. Liu, `arXiv:0910.1326v2 [hep-ph]`

[22] P.F. Harrison, R. Krishnan and W.G. Scott, Phys.Rev. D **82**, 096004 (2010); Rama Krishnan, *Fermion flavour observables : renormalisation invariants and model building using discrete symmetries*, PhD thesis, University of Warwick (2012), `http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/56802/`; P.F. Harrison, R. Krishnan and W.G. Scott, *Quark and Lepton Evolution Invariants in the Standard Model*, talk presented at the ICHEP conference in Paris (2010), `https://pos.sissa.it/archive/conferences/120/240/ICHEP%202010_240.pdf`

[23] T. Feldmann, T. Mannel and S. Schwertfeger, JHEP **1510**, 007 (2015)